

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/622,500	07/18/2003	Kevin L. Parsons	89539	3803		
7:	590 03/10/	04	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Welsh & Katz, Ltd.			WARD, JOHN A			
Eric D. Cohen 22nd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
120 South Riverside Plaza			2875			
Chicago, IL 6	0606		DATE MAILED: 03/10/200	DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				en.			
	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		2,500	PARSONS, KEVIN L.				
		ner	Art Unit				
	John A	Ward	2875	_			
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	munication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMI - Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above, the maxin - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In not communication. hirty (30) days, a reply within the num statutory period will apply an or reply will, by statute, cause the onths after the mailing date of this	statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this commu DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 July 2003</u>						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i						
3) Since this application is in cond	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the p	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>48-63</u> is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>48,49,53,57 and 58</u> is 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-52,54-56 and 60-6</u> 	is/are withdrawn from	consideration.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to r	estriction and/or electio	n requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to	=			,			
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any	•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a case a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the process of the process of the process of the certified copies of the process of the p	of: cority documents have to cority documents have to pies of the priority documents have to cornational Bureau (PCT)	peen received. peen received in App uments have been re Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Sta	ge			
Attachment(s)			(DT0 110)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 703. 		Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) /lail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-15.	2)			

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 48 and 49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,190,018. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim 48 is similar in scope of patented claim 8 and claim 49 is similar in scope of patented claim 7.

Claim 53 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,190,018.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of 53 is similar in scope of patented claim 8.

Claims 57 and 58 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,357,890. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 57 is similar in scope of patented claim 1, and claim 58 is similar in scope of patented claim 2.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 51, 52, 54-56, and 59-63 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 571-272-2386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,500

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

JAW

March 2, 2004

John A. Ward

Patent Examiner AU 2875